**Goals & Objectives:**

*Upon completion of this module, the learner should be able to:*

a. Define population health, population management, and population medicine.
b. Define the components of population health in the Military Health System (MHS).
c. Utilize Carepoint to conduct population management.

**Pre-Meeting Preparation:**

*Please do the following:*

- Sign on to Carepoint to ensure your logon works!

**Conference Agenda:**

- Sign on to Carepoint and work through the practice session
- Discuss questions

**Extra Credit:**

- IHI Open School – Offers a course in basic population health (TA 101: Introduction to the Triple Aim for Populations, which includes a lesson in “Improving Population Health”). CME and MOC Part 2 credit available; this course counts toward the certificate program in basic quality and safety required for all incoming military interns starting in 2018! FREE just by emailing your request to info@ihi.org.
- Learn more about the development, measurement, and maintenance of HEDIS metrics at the NCQA website.


From the IHI Improvement Blog

By Ninon Lewis

(Editor’s note: The IHI “Triple Aim” is defined as “simultaneously improving the health of the population, enhancing the experience and outcomes of the patient, and reducing per capita cost of care for the benefit of communities”)

In the years since IHI first began developing the concept of the Triple Aim, what started as an ambitious ideal for system transformation has become a rallying cry at the policy level, a mission and strategy for many health systems, and a burning platform for new collaborations within communities. The idea that the successful health and health care organizations of the future will be those that can simultaneously deliver excellent quality of care, at lower total costs, while improving the health of their population is taking hold. However, as IHI has pilot-tested the Triple Aim with nearly 150 organizations and coalitions around the world, and watched the natural diffusion of the framework within health care, it has become evident that some of the terminology used to talk about this concept needs clarification. What does IHI mean when we use terms such as "population," "population health," and "population management"?

Population

When embarking on a journey to achieve the Triple Aim, organizations and coalitions need to choose a relevant population to work with by answering the question, “For whom do we hold ourselves accountable for the Triple Aim?” The population chosen must make sense to the organization or coalition in all three dimensions of the Triple Aim: it must be clear how to deliver excellent care and improve health for the population, at lower total cost. Typically, organizations choose either discrete/defined populations or regional/community populations:

- **Discrete/defined populations** are enterprise-level populations that make business sense. Typically, they are a group of individuals receiving care within a health system, or whose care is financed through a specific health plan or entity. Examples of a discrete population include employees of an organization, members of a health plan, all those within a practice patient panel, or all those enrolled within a particular ACO. The members of a discrete population can be known with some certainty.
• **Regional/community populations** are inclusive population segments, defined geographically. People within a segment of a community population are unified by a common set of needs or issues, such as low-birth weight babies or older adults with complex needs. However, these individuals may receive care from a variety of systems or may be unconnected to care. They may or may not be insured. It is often difficult to enumerate the population with certainty. When addressing regional populations, we recommend selecting segments where better health care can make a significant contribution to achieving Triple Aim results.

**Population Health**

This term is used interchangeably with the term "health of a population." Here are a few details:

David Kindig, population health researcher, constructive critic of the Triple Aim, and IHI colleague, penned the following definition for population health, which IHI uses in our work:

*Population health is defined as the health outcomes of a group of individuals, including the distribution of such outcomes within the group. These groups are often geographic populations such as nations or communities, but can also be other groups such as employees, ethnic groups, disabled persons, prisoners, or any other defined group.*

While Kindig’s definition has been debated in both public health and health care circles since its first publication in 2003, its very articulation has sparked constructive discussion about what it means to address all of the broader factors that influence health, placing a specific focus on reducing or eliminating the inequity and disparities among various subpopulations, driven in part by social determinants of health.

The IHI Triple Aim team operationally defines the term “population health” by the measures we use, noted in the A Guide to Measuring the Triple Aim: Population Health, Experience of Care, and Per Capita Cost IHI White Paper, including measures such as life expectancy; mortality rates; health and functional status; disease burden (the incidence and/or prevalence of chronic disease); and behavioral and physiological factors such as smoking, physical activity, diet, blood pressure, BMI, and cholesterol (as measured via a Health Risk Appraisal).

**Population Management and the Evolution of Population Medicine**

The rapid changes of the last five to seven years in policy-level decision making, payment structures, and provider alignment have shifted the focus from care provided and paid for at an individual level, to managing and paying for health care services for a discrete or defined population – an approach known as *population management*. The term population management should be clearly distinguished from population health (which focuses on the broader determinants of health). From what we have seen through our work at IHI, population management as presently practiced is best conceptualized as *population medicine*. 
Population medicine, in this case, is the design, delivery, coordination, and payment of high-quality health care services to manage the Triple Aim for a population using the best resources we have available to us within the health care system. Much of the efforts today such as the Accountable Care Organization (ACO), risk stratification methods, patient registries, Patient Centered Medical Home, and other models of team-based care are all part of a comprehensive approach to population medicine. This is an excellent evolution for health care and an excellent place for health systems to be in. In many positive ways, Ed Wagner can be looked to as the father of population medicine, as his creation of the Chronic Care Model has helped move the culture in health care from reacting to the acute needs of patients to a proactive reorganization of health care delivery around the needs of populations.

Effective population management will require new partnerships among providers and payers, integrated data support, redesigned IT structures, a focus on non-traditional health care workforce, new care management models, and a shift from fee-for-service delivery to bearing financial risk for the populations served.

When Population Medicine Meets Population Health

As you begin to understand populations, the lines between a population management/medicine focus on health care services and a population health focus on the broader determinants of health become blurry with certain population segments. Consider, for example, the comprehensive care designs that serve the needs of your most complex, high-risk, and costly patients. The identification, understanding, and segmentation of your population; the redesign of services for that population; and the delivery of those services at scale require organizations to understand and address the broader social, environmental, and behavioral determinants of health in order to achieve better outcomes, improve the care experience, and control total cost.

Looking Ahead…

Whether you are working to understand how to deliver and pay for services at scale for a discrete or defined population, collaborating with other systems within an ACO, or extending your reach within the community to collaborate across sectors on a community-wide health issue, the frontier of the next 10 years for both population health and population management/medicine will be developing new collaboration and governance structures, new skills to assess and segment populations, new approaches for going to scale, and, most importantly, new approaches to address the moral imperative of understanding and reducing inequity in both health and health care.
Population Health in the MHS

The IHI “Triple Aim” is defined as “simultaneously improving the health of the population, enhancing the experience and outcomes of the patient, and reducing per capita cost of care for the benefit of communities”. Similarly, the Military Health System’s “Quadruple Aim” -- the ultimate goal for the provision of care for military beneficiaries – represents the MHS leadership’s commitment to delivering value to all they serve (DODI 6025.20, Medical Management, April 2013).

The components of the MHS Quadruple Aim are:

**Readiness**: Ensuring that the total military force is medically ready to deploy and that the medical force is ready to deliver health care anytime, anywhere in support of the full range of military operations, including humanitarian missions.

**Experience of Care (“Better Care”)**: Providing a care experience that is patient and family centered, compassionate, convenient, equitable, safe and always of the highest quality.

**Per Capita Cost (“Lower Cost”)**: Creating value by focusing on quality, eliminating waste, and reducing unwarranted variation; considering the total cost of care over time, not just the cost of an individual health care activity.

**Population Health (“Better Health”)**: Improving the health of a population by encouraging healthy behaviors and reducing the likelihood of illness through focused prevention and the development of increased resilience.
As a component of the Quadruple Aim, Population Health in the MHS is variably composed of:

- Disease management – managing the chronic conditions of high-risk and/or high-utilization groups of patients.
- Case management - a collaborative process that assesses, plans, implements, coordinates, monitors, and evaluates the options and services required to meet the patient’s health and human service needs.
- Utilization management - the evaluation of the medical necessity, appropriateness, and efficiency of the use of health care services, procedures, and facilities.
- Referral management – a way for health care team members to orchestrate and track patient referrals throughout the care continuum.

The Joint Commission PCMH requirements depend heavily on population health -- particularly on disease management activities. TJC PCMH population health requirements include:

- Collecting disease management outcome data.
- Providing disease and chronic case management to its patients.
- Providing population-based care.
- Using the EHR to support disease management, create reports including disease-specific registries, and support performance improvement.

“Population Health” in the PCMH model of healthcare delivery is often equated to HEDIS. The Healthcare Effectiveness Data and Information Set is a tool used by more than 90 percent of America’s health plans to measure performance on important dimensions of care and service. Created and promulgated by the National Center for Quality Assurance (NCQA – the body
currently certifying our medical home!), HEDIS consists of 81 measures across 5 domains of care. Because so many plans collect HEDIS data, and because the measures are so specifically defined, HEDIS makes it possible to compare the performance of health plans on an "apples-to-apples" basis.

The four HEDIS metrics we follow carefully in our primary care medical home are:

- Children with Pharyngitis – measures whether the provider ordered a strep test prior to initiation of antibiotics for pharyngitis
- Children with URI – measures the rate of antibiotic prescribing for children diagnosed with the common cold
- Well Child – measures the compliance with well child visits from birth to 15 months
- Chlamydia – measures the percentage of sexually active women age 16-24 with annual screening for Chlamydia

Over the past two years, the first three HEDIS metrics have improved and all have been in the “green” range for the majority of 2017 – meaning we perform better than 90% of other pediatric clinics. The last metric, however, has stagnated well below the 75th percentile; only ~ 30-40% of our empaneled eligible population have been screened for Chlamydia (50% screened equates to 75th percentile; 58% screened equates to 90th percentile).

For the Chlamydia HEDIS metric:

**Definition:** Percentage of active duty women continuously enrolled in Tricare Prime ages 16-24, who are sexually active and have had chlamydia screening in the past 12 months.

**Denominator:** Sexually active woman age 16-24 continuously enrolled in Tricare Prime for at least 11 of the 12 months ending in the measurement month.

**Numerator:** denominator women with evidence of chlamydia testing in the last 12 months.

### Table: Chlamydia Screening Percentiles

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure Name</th>
<th>10th Percentile</th>
<th>25th Percentile</th>
<th>50th Percentile</th>
<th>75th Percentile</th>
<th>90th Percentile</th>
<th>95th Percentile</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chlamydia Screening in Women (Lower Age Stratification)</td>
<td>29.82</td>
<td>33.1</td>
<td>39.05</td>
<td>47.98</td>
<td>59.16</td>
<td>65.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlamydia Screening in Women (Upper Age Stratification)</td>
<td>40.17</td>
<td>44.04</td>
<td>50.84</td>
<td>57.61</td>
<td>65.68</td>
<td>69.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlamydia Screening in Women - Total</td>
<td>34.89</td>
<td>38.49</td>
<td>45.08</td>
<td>53.9</td>
<td>62.51</td>
<td>67.52</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
So how does the MHS define a patient as “sexually active”? A patient will appear in the denominator of the metric as a “sexually active 16-24 yo female” if lab, encounter, or claims data suggests sexual activity. For example, a prior diagnosis of a sexually transmitted infection or pregnancy automatically places the patient in the denominator.

Prescription birth control also places the patient in the numerator for this HEDIS metric. BUT WAIT – you’re thinking to yourself: I prescribe birth control for plenty of reasons aside from contraception! Many of these co-existing diagnoses will exclude the patient from the metric. For example, the diagnosis of menorrhagia or the presence of a prescription for isoretinoin will exclude a patient automatically.

The metric is not perfect – there are non-sexually-active patients that “count against us” for various reasons. But remember that the goal here is NOT perfection, but rather compliance with screening only 50-70% of suspected sexually-active females. We should not screen patients just for the sake of the metric!
Unlike the other HEDIS metrics, the Chlamydia metric is extra challenging because there is no registry (or list) of patients to tell us who is delinquent for testing. Because of adolescent confidentiality rules, only active duty females appear on the automated registry. And since we have no active duty enrollees in our empanelment … the registry is not useful for us.

How do we attack this metric and improve the quality of care we provide to our adolescent and young adult population?
Carepoint practice session

1. Sign onto Carepoint. The URL is posted on the NCCpeds.com website.
2. Pull up your continuity panel, using the following guidance. Rearrange/hide the columns as you see fit.

HOW TO PULL PROVIDER PATIENT PANELS IN CAREPOINT/MHSPSP (5 easy steps)
*Go to NCCPeds.com under “CLINICAL RESOURCES”. Log into Carepoint. Go to “APPS” at the top, then “ALL APPS”, then open MHSPSP.
*Consider adding MHSPSP as a favorite for easy access next time.
*Click on “PHPM REGISTRIES”, then “ALL ENROLLEES”.

3. Discuss: how might you filter your empanelment to find females age 16-24? **Faculty Answer:** Click on “Manage Filters” and enter the following, followed by clicking the

3.) Click on the DOWN ARROW BY PCM NAME. (You may have to press the right keyboard arrow or click and drag right to view the column for provider group
4) Hover cursor over the word “FILTER”
5) In the “CONTAINS” BOX, write “LAST NAME FIRST NAME” (like “RICHARDS AUTUMN’). Click on FILTER.

3. Discuss: how might you filter your empanelment to find females age 16-24? **Faculty Answer:** Click on “Manage Filters” and enter the following, followed by clicking the
4. Can you further filter your panel to determine which of your 16-24 yo females has an appointment in our clinic before 1 Mar 2018?

5. How might you find 16-24 yo female patients that you will be seeing for an appointment in the next 30 days? What other information is available about these patients?

Faculty Answer: here is one way, from the screen we have been working from:
Another way: Click on “Appointments” on the left tool bar. Click on “Manage filters” at the top, and enter the following:

You can search for appointments for different time periods by popping out the “Options” tab on the far right side of the screen.

6. The Carepoint Informatics Team has set up a ready-made filter specifically for Chlamydia HEDIS (see left tool bar). Here’s what it looks like:
The listed “EDC codes” are diagnoses or lab tests that suggest sexual activity (e.g. pregnancy, prior STI). While this will not list you ALL the patients who qualify for Chlamydia screening, it will get you close.

Other Carepoint filters to run if you have time during the session:
Patients age 12+ you are seeing in the next 2 months who have not ever had lipid screening
   Using the appointment registry, search for next 2 months, and filter with column “CHOL” = null.

Patients on your panel age 12-15 months due for a well child check
   Click on “Well Child” on the right tool bar and filter with your name as PCM and the appropriate age range. Patient show up as bright yellow (overdue) or light yellow (due). You can use the “Next PC Appointment Reason” to determine if they are already scheduled for a well checkup.

Patients age 12+ coming to see you in the next 2 months with a history of depression
   Using the appointment registry, search for the next 2 months, and filter with column “Depression” = DEPR.

Patients coming in for an acute visit today who are due for a well checkup
   Using the appointment registry, search for today’s appointments in our clinic (“Ped Med Home”), and filter with column “Overdue/Due” (sort descending to force the overdue/due patients to the top).

Consider saving your filters for ease of use later. Build the filter, then click on “My Filters”, give your filter and name and description, and click create. It will now show up as a Saved Filter on the left tool bar.

Discuss the following questions:

- What are some of the barriers to Chlamydia screening in our clinic?
Possible answers: not enough appointment time, discomfort with the topic, parents are often present with patients for appointments, lack of knowledge about screening requirements, patients in this age group rarely come in for appointments.

- How can we address some of these barriers?
  - Effective immediately, providers seeing 20-min appointments will have 40 minutes to see all adolescent physical exams. This may allow adequate time to screen for STIs.
  - Increasing knowledge among providers, Green/Adolescent PI project and at this continuity module.
  - Consider engaging the ER to run NAAT with pregnancy tests.
  - Reach out to patients who haven’t been seen in >12 months – schedule them a physical!

- What are some of the ways you could use Carepoint to improve the quality of care for your patients?
  - Answers may vary. Examples: find asthma patients with >2 ED visits in the past year, find patients who have seen subspecialists in the last year but not a primary care provider, find patients 12+ with co-morbid diagnoses which may be appropriate to move to the adolescent clinic

- Do we have disease/case/utilization/referral management professionals in our medical home? If not, do we have these professionals anywhere in our hospital?
  - We have DM and CM imbedded in our clinic (Rhoda Kroeker and Cindy Coleman). UM and RM are departments in the Directorate for Healthcare Operations (DHO).

- How do we support each facet of the Quadruple Aim in our clinic?
  - Answers may vary.